Entropy and Large Deviations

S.R.S. Varadhan Courant Institute, NYU

Michigan State Universiy East Lansing March 31, 2015

Entropy and Large Deviations – p. 1/32

Entropy comes up in many different contexts.

Entropy comes up in many different contexts. in Physics, introduced by Rudolf Clausius in 1865

Entropy comes up in many different contexts.
in Physics, introduced by Rudolf Clausius in 1865
In connection with heat transfer, relation between heat and work. Classical thermodynamics.

Entropy comes up in many different contexts.
in Physics, introduced by Rudolf Clausius in 1865
In connection with heat transfer, relation between heat and work. Classical thermodynamics.
Bulk Quantity

Entropy comes up in many different contexts.

- in Physics, introduced by Rudolf Clausius in 1865
- In connection with heat transfer, relation between heat and work. Classical thermodynamics.

Bulk Quantity

Boltzmann around 1877 defined entropy as $c \log |\Omega|$, Ω is the set of micro states that correspond to a given macro state. $|\Omega|$ is its size.

Shannon's Entropy. 1948. Mathematical theory of communication. Modern information theory.

Shannon's Entropy. 1948. Mathematical theory of communication. Modern information theory.

• Given $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \ldots, p_k)$,

$$H(\mathbf{p}) = -\sum_{i} p_i \log p_i$$

Shannon's Entropy. 1948. Mathematical theory of communication. Modern information theory.

Given
$$\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \ldots, p_k),$$

$$H(\mathbf{p}) = -\sum_{i} p_i \log p_i$$

Conditional Probability $P[X = i | \Sigma] = p_i(\omega),$ $E[p_i(\omega)] = p_i$

Conditional Entropy

$$H(\omega) = -\sum_{i} p_{i}(\omega) \log p_{i}(\omega)$$
$$E[H(\omega)] = E[-\sum_{i} p_{i}(\omega) \log p_{i}(\omega)]$$

Entropy and Large Deviations -p. 4/32

Concavity

$$H = -\sum_{i} p_{i} \log p_{i} \ge E\left[-\sum_{i} p_{i}(\omega) \log p_{i}(\omega)\right]$$

Concavity

$$H = -\sum_{i} p_{i} \log p_{i} \ge E\left[-\sum_{i} p_{i}(\omega) \log p_{i}(\omega)\right]$$

• X_i is a stationary stochastic process. $p_i(\omega) = P[X_1 = i | X_0, \dots, X_{-n}, \dots].$

$$H[P] = E^{P} \Big[-\sum_{i} p_{i}(\omega) \log p_{i}(\omega) \Big]$$

Entropy and Large Deviations – p. 5/32

Concavity

$$H = -\sum_{i} p_{i} \log p_{i} \ge E\left[-\sum_{i} p_{i}(\omega) \log p_{i}(\omega)\right]$$

• X_i is a stationary stochastic process. $p_i(\omega) = P[X_1 = i | X_0, \dots, X_{-n}, \dots].$

$$H[P] = E^{P} \Big[-\sum_{i} p_{i}(\omega) \log p_{i}(\omega) \Big]$$

Conditioning is with respect to past history.

• Look at $p(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$

• Look at
$$p(x_1, ..., x_n)$$

• $H_n(P) =$
 $-\sum_{x_1,...,x_n} p(x_1, ..., x_n) \log p(x_1, ..., x_n)$

Look at
$$p(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$

 $H_n(P) =$
 $-\sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_n} p(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \log p(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$
 $H_{n+m} \leq H_n + H_m, H_n \text{ is } \uparrow.$

Look at
$$p(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$

 $H_n(P) =$
 $-\sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_n} p(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \log p(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$
 $H_{n+m} \leq H_n + H_m, H_n \text{ is } \uparrow.$
 $H_{n+1} - H_n \text{ is } \downarrow$

Look at
$$p(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$
 $H_n(P) =$
 $-\sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_n} p(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \log p(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$
 $H_{n+m} \leq H_n + H_m, H_n \text{ is } \uparrow.$
 $H_{n+1} - H_n \text{ is } \downarrow$
 $H(P) = \lim \frac{H_n}{n} = \lim [H_{n+1} - H_n]$

Dynamical system. (X, Σ, T, P) . $T : X \to X$ preserves P.

Dynamical system. (X, Σ, T, P) . $T : X \to X$ preserves P.

Shift T in a space of sequences. P any stationary process.

- Dynamical system. (X, Σ, T, P) . $T : X \to X$ preserves P.
- Shift T in a space of sequences. P any stationary process.
- Isomorphism between (X, Σ, T, P) and (X', Σ', T', P')

 $S: X \to X'; ST = T'S, PS^{-1} = P'$

Dynamical system. (X, Σ, T, P) . $T : X \to X$ preserves P.

- Shift T in a space of sequences. P any stationary process.
- Isomorphism between (X, Σ, T, P) and (X', Σ', T', P')

 $S: X \to X'; ST = T'S, PS^{-1} = P'$

Spectral Invariant. (Uf)(x) = f(Ux) Unitary map in $L_2(X, \Sigma, P)$ Dynamical system. (X, Σ, T, P) . $T : X \to X$ preserves P.

- Shift T in a space of sequences. P any stationary process.
- Isomorphism between (X, Σ, T, P) and (X', Σ', T', P')

 $S: X \to X'; ST = T'S, PS^{-1} = P'$

Spectral Invariant. (Uf)(x) = f(Ux) Unitary map in L₂(X, Σ, P)
VU = U'V

 (Ω, Σ, P, T)

 (Ω, Σ, P, T)

Each function f taking a finite set of values generates a stochastic process P_f , the distribution of $\{f(T^nx)\}$

 (Ω, Σ, P, T)

Each function f taking a finite set of values generates a stochastic process P_f, the distribution of {f(Tⁿx)}
h(Ω, Σ, P, T) = sup_f h(P_f)

 (Ω, Σ, P, T)

Each function *f* taking a finite set of values generates a stochastic process *P_f*, the distribution of {*f*(*Tⁿx*)} *h*(Ω, Σ, *P*, *T*) = sup_f *h*(*P_f*)

Invariant. Not spectral. $H(T^2, P) = 2H(T, P)$.

 (Ω, Σ, P, T)

Each function f taking a finite set of values generates a stochastic process P_f , the distribution of $\{f(T^nx)\}$

$$h(\Omega, \Sigma, P, T) = \sup_f h(P_f)$$

Invariant. Not spectral. $H(T^2, P) = 2H(T, P)$. Computable. $P = \Pi \mathbf{p}, H(P) = h(\mathbf{p})$.

Isomorphism Theorem of Ornstein

Isomorphism Theorem of Ornstein If h(p) = h(q)

Isomorphism Theorem of Ornstein

If $h(\mathbf{p}) = h(\mathbf{q})$

The dynamical systems with product measures P, Q, i.e (F^{∞}, P) and (G^{∞}, Q) are isomorphic.

Shannon's entropy and coding Code in such a way that the best compression is achieved.

- Code in such a way that the best compression is achieved.
- Incoming data stream has stationary statistics *P*.

- Code in such a way that the best compression is achieved.
- Incoming data stream has stationary statistics *P*.
- Coding to be done into words in an alphabet of size
 - r.

- Code in such a way that the best compression is achieved.
- Incoming data stream has stationary statistics *P*.
- Coding to be done into words in an alphabet of size r.
- The compression factor is $c = \frac{H(P)}{\log r}$;
The number of n tuples is k^n .

The number of n tuples is kⁿ. Shannon-Breiman-McMillan theorem: If P is stationary and ergodic, P(E_n) → 1 where

$$E_n = \{ \left| \frac{1}{n} \log p(x_1, \dots, x_n) - H(P) \right| \le \epsilon \}$$

The number of n tuples is k^n .

Shannon-Breiman-McMillan theorem: If P is stationary and ergodic, $P(E_n) \rightarrow 1$ where

$$E_n = \{ \left| \frac{1}{n} \log p(x_1, \dots, x_n) - H(P) \right| \le \epsilon \}$$

Almost the entire probability under P is carried by nearly $e^{nH(\mathbf{P})}$ n tuples of more or less equal probability.

The number of n tuples is k^n .

Shannon-Breiman-McMillan theorem: If P is stationary and ergodic, $P(E_n) \rightarrow 1$ where

$$E_n = \{ \left| \frac{1}{n} \log p(x_1, \dots, x_n) - H(P) \right| \le \epsilon \}$$

Almost the entire probability under P is carried by nearly $e^{nH(\mathbf{P})}$ n tuples of more or less equal probability.

$$r^m = e^{nH(P)}$$
. $c = \frac{m}{n} = \frac{H(\mathbf{P})}{\log r}$

k^n sequences of length *n* from an alphabet of size *k*.

kⁿ sequences of length n from an alphabet of size k. How many look like P?

kⁿ sequences of length n from an alphabet of size k. How many look like P? exp[n(H(P)) + o(n)]

kⁿ sequences of length n from an alphabet of size k. How many look like P?

- $\blacksquare \exp[n(H(P)) + o(n)]$
- H(P) is maximized when $P = P_0$, the uniform distribution on F^n

kⁿ sequences of length n from an alphabet of size k. How many look like P?

- $\bullet \exp[n(H(P)) + o(n)]$
- H(P) is maximized when $P = P_0$, the uniform distribution on F^n

$$\blacksquare H(P_0) = \log k$$

Kullback-Leibler information, Relative Entropy (1951)

$$H(\mathbf{q};\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i} q_i \log \frac{q_i}{p_i}$$

Kullback-Leibler information, Relative Entropy (1951)

$$H(\mathbf{q};\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i} q_i \log \frac{q_i}{p_i}$$

If p is the uniform distribution with mass $\frac{1}{k}$ at every point then

$$h(\mathbf{q}:\mathbf{p}) = \log k - h(\mathbf{q})$$

Kullback-Leibler information, Relative Entropy (1951)

$$H(\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i} q_i \log \frac{q_i}{p_i}$$

If p is the uniform distribution with mass $\frac{1}{k}$ at every point then

$$h(\mathbf{q}:\mathbf{p}) = \log k - h(\mathbf{q})$$

Two probability densities

$$H(g;f) = \int g(x) \log \frac{g(x)}{f(x)} dx$$

Entropy and Large Deviations – p. 13/32

Two probability measures

$$H(\mu,\lambda) = \int \log \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} d\mu = \int \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} \log \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} d\lambda$$

Two probability measures

$$H(\mu,\lambda) = \int \log \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} d\mu = \int \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} \log \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} d\lambda$$

Two stationary processes

 $H(Q, P) = E^Q[H(Q|\Sigma; P|\Sigma)]$

Entropy and Large Deviations – p. 14/32

Two probability measures

$$H(\mu,\lambda) = \int \log \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} d\mu = \int \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} \log \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} d\lambda$$

Two stationary processes

$$H(Q, P) = E^Q[H(Q|\Sigma; P|\Sigma)]$$

Has issues! OK if $P|\Sigma$ is globally defined.

$\bullet \alpha, \beta$ are probability measures on X

• α , β are probability measures on X• $P = \Pi \alpha$

• α , β are probability measures on X• $P = \Pi \alpha$

$$r_n(dx) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i}$$

• α, β are probability measures on X• $P = \Pi \alpha$ $r_n(dx) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{n} \delta_n$

$$r_n(dx) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i}$$

Sanov's Theorem.

 $P[r_n(dx) \simeq \beta] = \exp[-nH(\beta; \alpha) + o(n)]$

Large Deviations

Large DeviationsFor closed sets C

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_n[C] \le -\inf_{x \in C} I(x)$$

Large DeviationsFor closed sets C

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_n[C] \le -\inf_{x \in C} I(x)$$

For open sets G

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_n[C] \ge -\inf_{x \in G} I(x)$$

Large DeviationsFor closed sets C

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_n[C] \le -\inf_{x \in C} I(x)$$

For open sets G

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_n[C] \ge -\inf_{x \in G} I(x)$$

I is lower semi continuous and has compact level sets.

Sanov's theorem is an example.

Sanov's theorem is an example.

P_n on $\mathcal{M}(X)$ with weak topology is the distribution of $r_n(dx)$ under $\Pi \alpha$.

Sanov's theorem is an example.

P_n on $\mathcal{M}(X)$ with weak topology is the distribution of $r_n(dx)$ under $\Pi \alpha$.

 $\blacksquare I(\beta) = h(\beta : \alpha).$

Ergodic theorem says most sequences resemble *P*.

Ergodic theorem says most sequences resemble *P*.

What is the probability that they resemble Q?

Ergodic theorem says most sequences resemble P.
What is the probability that they resemble Q?
exp[-nH(Q; P)]

- *P* is a stationary process, We have a string of *n* observations.
- Ergodic theorem says most sequences resemble *P*.
 What is the probability that they resemble *Q*?
 exp[-nH(Q; P)]
 If P = P₀ then H(Q; P) = log k H(Q).

- *P* is a stationary process, We have a string of *n* observations.
- Ergodic theorem says most sequences resemble P.
 What is the probability that they resemble Q?
 exp[-nH(Q; P)]
 If P = P₀ then H(Q; P) = log k H(Q).
 OK for nice P.

Functional Analysis

Functional Analysis $f \ge 0 \int f d\lambda = 1$

Functional Analysis $f \ge 0 \int f d\lambda = 1$ $\frac{d}{dp} \Big|_{p=1} \left[\int f^p d\lambda \right] = \int f \log f d\lambda$

There is an analog of Holder's inequality in the limit as $p \rightarrow 1$.
There is an analog of Holder's inequality in the limit as p → 1. The duality

There is an analog of Holder's inequality in the limit as p → 1. The duality x, y ∈ R. xy ≤ ^{|x|^p}/_p + ^{|y|^q}/_q, ^{|x|^p}/_p = sup_y[xy - ^{|y|^q}/_q], ^{|y|^q}/_q = sup_x[xy - ^{|x|^p}/_p]

There is an analog of Holder's inequality in the limit as $p \to 1$. The duality \mathbf{I} , $y \in R$. $xy \leq \frac{|x|^p}{n} + \frac{|y|^q}{q}$ $\frac{|x|^p}{p} = \sup_{y} [xy - \frac{|y|^q}{q}], \frac{|y|^q}{q} = \sup_{x} [xy - \frac{|x|^p}{p}]$ Becomes, for $x > 0, y \in R$ $x \log x - x = \sup_{y} [xy - e^{y}]$ $e^{y} = \sup_{x > 0} \left[xy - (x \log x - \overline{x}) \right]$

$$\int g d\mu = \int f g d\lambda \le \log \int e^g d\lambda + H(\mu, \lambda)$$

$$\int g d\mu = \int f g d\lambda \le \log \int e^g d\lambda + H(\mu, \lambda)$$

 $\blacksquare g = c\chi_A(x)$. Optimize over c > 0. $\overline{c} = \log \frac{1}{\lambda(A)}$

$$\int g d\mu = \int f g d\lambda \le \log \int e^g d\lambda + H(\mu, \lambda)$$

$$q = c \chi_A(x). \text{ Optimize over } c > 0. \ c = \log \frac{1}{\lambda(A)}$$

$$e^g = \chi_{A^c} + \frac{1}{\lambda(A)} \chi_A; \int e^g d\lambda \le 2$$

$$\int g d\mu = \int f g d\lambda \le \log \int e^g d\lambda + H(\mu, \lambda)$$

$$g = c\chi_A(x). \text{ Optimize over } c > 0. \ c = \log \frac{1}{\lambda(A)}$$

$$e^g = \chi_{A^c} + \frac{1}{\lambda(A)}\chi_A; \int e^g d\lambda \le 2$$

$$\mu(A) \le \frac{H(\mu, \lambda) + \log 2}{\log \frac{1}{\lambda(A)}}$$

Entropy grows linearly but the Lp norms grow exponentially fast.

Entropy grows linearly but the Lp norms grow exponentially fast.

Useful inequality for interacting particle systems

Statistical Mechanics. Probability distributions are often defined through an energy functional.

Statistical Mechanics. Probability distributions are often defined through an energy functional.

$$p_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

= $[c(n)]^{-1} \exp[-\sum_{i=1}^{n-k+1} F(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+k-1})]$

$$c_n = \sum_{x_1,\dots,x_n} \exp\left[-\sum_{i=1}^{n-k+1} F(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+k-1})\right]$$

$$c_n = \sum_{x_1,\dots,x_n} \exp\left[-\sum_{i=1}^{n-k+1} F(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+k-1})\right]$$

 $= \exp[nH(P)], P$ typical sequences.

Entropy and Large Deviations – p. 24/32

$$c_n = \sum_{x_1,\dots,x_n} \exp\left[-\sum_{i=1}^{n-k+1} F(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+k-1})\right]$$

• $\exp[nH(P)]$, P typical sequences. • $\exp[-n\int FdP]$

$$c_n = \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n} \exp\left[-\sum_{i=1}^{n-k+1} F(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+k-1})\right]$$

• $\exp[nH(P)]$, P typical sequences. • $\exp[-n\int FdP]$

The sum therefore is $e^{-n(\int F dP - H(P))}$

Then by Laplace asymptotics

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log c_n}{n} = \sup_{P} \left[-\int F \, dP + H(P) \right]$$

Then by Laplace asymptotics

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log c_n}{n} = \sup_{P} \left[-\int F \, dP + H(P) \right]$$

If the sup is attained at a unique P then

$$P \simeq c^{-1} \exp[-\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} F(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+k-1})]$$

Then by Laplace asymptotics

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log c_n}{n} = \sup_{P} \left[-\int F \, dP + H(P) \right]$$

If the sup is attained at a unique P then

$$P \simeq c^{-1} \exp[-\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} F(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+k-1})]$$

The averages $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k+1} G(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+k-1})$ converge in probability under p_n to $E^P[G(x_1, \dots, x_k)].$

T_t is a Markov semigroup, on (X, Σ) $T_t f \ge 0$ if $f \ge 0$ and $T_t 1 = 1$.

- T_t is a Markov semigroup, on (X, Σ) $T_t f \ge 0$ if $f \ge 0$ and $T_t 1 = 1$.
- **Symmetric** with respect to μ

- T_t is a Markov semigroup, on (X, Σ) $T_t f \ge 0$ if $f \ge 0$ and $T_t 1 = 1$.
- **Symmetric** with respect to μ

$$\bullet f \ge 0, \int f d\mu = 1$$

$$\int f \log f d\mu \le c D(\sqrt{f})$$

- T_t is a Markov semigroup, on (X, Σ) $T_t f \ge 0$ if $f \ge 0$ and $T_t 1 = 1$.
- **Symmetric** with respect to μ

$$f \ge 0, \int f d\mu = 1$$
$$\int f \log f d\mu \le c D(\sqrt{f})$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int f_t \log f_t d\mu \le -\frac{1}{2} D(\sqrt{f}) \le -c' \int f_t \log f_t d\mu$$

Exponential decay, spectral gap etc.

Exponential decay, spectral gap etc.Infinitesimal Version.

Exponential decay, spectral gap etc. Infinitesimal Version. {f(x, θ)}

Exponential decay, spectral gap etc. Infinitesimal Version. {f(x, θ)}

$$H(\theta, \theta_0) = \int f(x, \theta) \log \frac{f(x, \theta)}{f(x, \theta_0)} d\mu$$

Exponential decay, spectral gap etc. Infinitesimal Version. {f(x, θ)}

$$H(\theta, \theta_0) = \int f(x, \theta) \log \frac{f(x, \theta)}{f(x, \theta_0)} d\mu$$

 $\blacksquare H(\theta, \theta_0)$ has a minimum at θ_0 .

Exponential decay, spectral gap etc. Infinitesimal Version. $\blacksquare \{f(x,\theta)\}$ $H(\theta, \theta_0) = \int f(x, \theta) \log \frac{f(x, \theta)}{f(x, \theta_0)} d\mu$ \blacksquare $H(\theta, \theta_0)$ has a minimum at θ_0 .

$$I(\theta(0)) = \frac{d^2 H}{d\theta^2}|_{\theta=\theta_0}$$

Optimizing Entropy.

Optimizing Entropy. Toss a coin n times.

Optimizing Entropy. Toss a coin n times. Had ³ⁿ/₄ heads.

Optimizing Entropy.
Toss a coin *n* times.
Had ³ⁿ/₄ heads.
Was it a steady lead or burst of heads?

Optimizing Entropy.
Toss a coin n times.
Had ³ⁿ/₄ heads.
Was it a steady lead or burst of heads?
P[¹/_nS(nt) ~ f(t)]

$\bullet f'(t) = p(t)$

$$f'(t) = p(t)$$
$$e^{-n\int_0^1 h(p(t))dt}$$
f'(t) = p(t) $e^{-n\int_0^1 h(p(t))dt}$ Minimize $\int_0^1 h(p(t))dt$ subject to $\int_0^1 p(s)ds = \frac{3}{4}$

f'(t) = p(t) $e^{-n\int_0^1 h(p(t))dt}$ Minimize $\int_0^1 h(p(t))dt$ subject to $\int_0^1 p(s)ds = \frac{3}{4}$ $h(p) = \log 2 + p\log p + (1-p)\log(1-p)$

f'(t) = p(t) $e^{-n\int_0^1 h(p(t))dt}$ Minimize $\int_0^1 h(p(t))dt$ subject to $\int_0^1 p(s)ds = \frac{3}{4}$ $h(p) = \log 2 + p\log p + (1-p)\log(1-p)$ Convexity. $p(s) = \frac{3s}{4}$.

General Philosophy

General Philosophy P(A) is to be estimated.

General Philosophy P(A) is to be estimated. P(A) is small.

General Philosophy
P(A) is to be estimated.
P(A) is small.
Find Q such that Q(A) ~ 1

General Philosophy
P(A) is to be estimated.
P(A) is small.
Find Q such that Q(A) ≃ 1

$$P(A) = Q(A) \frac{1}{Q(A)} \int_{A} e^{-\log \frac{dQ}{dP}} dQ$$

$$P(A) \ge Q(A) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{Q(A)} \int_{A} \log \frac{dQ}{dP} dQ\right]$$

Entropy and Large Deviations – p. 31/32

$$P(A) \ge Q(A) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{Q(A)} \int_{A} \log \frac{dQ}{dP} dQ\right]$$

Asymptotically more or less

$$P(A) \ge \exp[-h(Q:P)]$$

Entropy and Large Deviations – p. 31/32

$$P(A) \ge Q(A) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{Q(A)} \int_{A} \log \frac{dQ}{dP} dQ\right]$$

Asymptotically more or less

$$P(A) \ge \exp[-h(Q:P)]$$

Optimize over Q.

Example. Markov Chain {p_{i,j}}. Invariant distribution p

Example. Markov Chain {p_{i,j}}. Invariant distribution p What is the probability that the empirical r_n is close to q.

- \blacksquare { $p_{i,j}$ }. Invariant distribution **p**
- What is the probability that the empirical r_n is close to \mathbf{q} .
- Find $\{q_{i,j}\}$ such that the invariant distribution is q

- \blacksquare { $p_{i,j}$ }. Invariant distribution **p**
- What is the probability that the empirical r_n is close to \mathbf{q} .
- Find $\{q_{i,j}\}$ such that the invariant distribution is q
- $\blacksquare h(Q:P) = \sum_{i,j} q_i q_{i,j} \log \frac{q_{i,j}}{p_{i,j}}$

- \blacksquare { $p_{i,j}$ }. Invariant distribution **p**
- What is the probability that the empirical r_n is close to \mathbf{q} .
- Find $\{q_{i,j}\}$ such that the invariant distribution is q

$$\mathbf{I}(Q:P) = \sum_{i,j} q_i q_{i,j} \log \frac{q_{i,j}}{p_{i,j}}$$

Optimize over $\{q_{i,j}\}$ with q as invariant distribution.

- \blacksquare { $p_{i,j}$ }. Invariant distribution **p**
- What is the probability that the empirical r_n is close to \mathbf{q} .
- Find $\{q_{i,j}\}$ such that the invariant distribution is q

$$-h(Q:P) = \sum_{i,j} q_i q_{i,j} \log \frac{q_{i,j}}{p_{i,j}}$$

Optimize over {q_{i,j}} with q as invariant distribution.
Best possible lower bound is the upper bound.

Random graphs

Random graphs *p* is the probability of edge.

Random graphs *p* is the probability of edge.
Need more triangles (^N₃)τ, τ > p³.

Random graphs *p* is the probability of edge.
Need more triangles (^N₃)τ, τ > p³.
Fix ∫ f(x, y)f(y, z)f(z, x)dxdydz = τ

Random graphs *p* is the probability of edge.
Need more triangles \$\begin{pmmatrix} N \ 3 \end{pmmatrix}, \tau > p^3\$.
Fix \$\int f(x,y)f(y,z)f(z,x)dxdydz = \tau\$
Minimize \$H(f) = \$\int [f(x,y) \log \$\frac{f(x,y)}{p} + (1-f(x,y)) \log \$\frac{(1-f(x,y))}{1-p}\$]dxdy\$

Random graphs \mathbf{P} is the probability of edge. Need more triangles $\binom{N}{3}\tau, \tau > p^3$. Fix $\int f(x,y)f(y,z)f(z,x)dxdydz = \tau$ • Minimize H(f) = $\int \left[f(x,y) \log \frac{f(x,y)}{n} + (1 - f(x,y)) \log \frac{(1 - f(x,y))}{1 - n} \right] dx dy$ $f = \tau^{\frac{1}{3}}?$

THANK YOU

Entropy and Large Deviations – p. 34/32