# Large deviations for point processes based on stationary sequences with heavy tails

Gennady Samorodnitsky jointly with Henrik Hult

March 2010

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Stationary processes and large deviations

Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ , be a stationary process. Many questions of interest about the process turn out to be large deviations questions.

Suppose, for example, that the process is ergodic, and has a finite and negative mean. Let

$$W = \sup_{n=0,1,2,...} (X_1 + X_2 + ... + X_n).$$

**Question**: what is the behaviour of the tail probability for the supremum: P(W > x) for large x?

**A different question**: how long are the long "strange" stretches of time? For example, for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , what is the behaviour of the probability

$$P\Big(\frac{X_{i+1}+\ldots+X_j}{j-i}>EX+\epsilon\Big)$$

for some 
$$1 \leq i < j \leq n, \;\; j-i > a_n \Big)$$

for some  $a_n \uparrow \infty$  sufficiently fast (but with  $a_n = o(n)$ ).

**Note**: in both cases we are talking about probabilities of events that depend not only on the sizes of  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ , but also on their order.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

We are specifically interested in a situation where:

- $(X_n)$  form a stationary heavy tailed process;
- (X<sub>n</sub>) are "tail dependent".

"Tail dependence" means that the extreme values of the sequence  $(X_n)$  may cluster.

Specifically, for the models we will consider, for some k = 1, 2, ...,

$$\liminf_{x \to 0} \frac{P(X_1 > x, X_{k+1} > x)}{P(X_1 > x)} > 0$$

#### The tool: abstract large deviations

One of the main tools for handling large deviations questions is via *abstract large deviations*. The first level of those is the functional large deviations.

Suppose, for a moment, that  $E|X| < \infty$ . Define, for n = 1, 2, ...,

$$S_n(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]} (X_j - EX), \ 0 \le t \le 1.$$

Then each  $S_n$  is a random element of the space D[0, 1].

Let  $\gamma_n \uparrow \infty$  so fast that

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_n}\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - EX) \to 0$$

in probability. A function space large deviations result would describe the behaviour of the small probability

$$P\Big(\frac{1}{\gamma_n}S_n(\cdot)\in A\Big), \ n\to\infty,$$

where A is a set of functions whose closure does not contain the zero function.

A typical statement in the light tail case:

$$\frac{1}{r_n}\log P\Big(\frac{1}{\gamma_n}S_n(\cdot)\in A\Big)\approx -\inf_{f\in A}J(f),$$

where  $r_n \uparrow \infty$ , and J is a rate function.

A typical statement in the heavy tail case:

$$r_n P\Big(rac{1}{\gamma_n}S_n(\cdot)\in A\Big)pprox \mu(A)\,,$$

where  $r_n \uparrow \infty$ , and  $\mu$  is a *large deviations measure*.

Both give the most likely paths for the rare event to happen.

### Heavy tails: multivariate regular variation

We say that a *d*-dimensional random vector Z has a regularly varying distribution if there exists a non-null Radon measure  $\theta_{\alpha}$  on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\theta_{\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d \setminus \mathbb{R}^d) = 0$  such that

$$\frac{P(u^{-1}Z\in \cdot\,)}{P(|Z|>u)}\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle \nu}{\to} \theta_{\alpha}(\cdot)$$

on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d \setminus \{0\}$ .

The limiting measure  $\theta_{\alpha}$  necessarily obeys a homogeneity property: there is an  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\theta_{\alpha}(uB) = u^{-\alpha}\theta_{\alpha}(B)$  for all Borel sets  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$ 

In the one-dimensional case this is equivalent to the statement that

$$P(|Z| > u) = u^{-\alpha}L(u), \ u > 0$$

for  $\alpha > {\rm 0}$  and  ${\it L}$  a slowly varying function, and

$$\frac{P(Z > u)}{P(|Z| > u)} \to p \text{ as } u \to \infty$$

for  $0 \leq p \leq 1$ . If q = 1 - p, then

$$heta_{lpha}(dx) = \left\{ egin{array}{c} plpha x^{-(1+lpha)} dx & x > 0, \ qlpha |x|^{-(1+lpha)} dx & x < 0. \end{array} 
ight.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Suppose, for a moment, that $(X_n)$ are regularly varying, nonnegative and i.i.d.

Then  $r_n = (nP(X > \gamma_n))^{-1}$ , and the large deviations measure is given by

$$\mu(A) = \operatorname{Leb} \times \theta_{\alpha} \Big\{ (t, z) \in (0, 1) \times (0, \infty) : z \mathbf{1} (\cdot > t) \in A \Big\}.$$

 $heta_{lpha}$  is a measure on  $(0,\infty)$  with  $heta_{lpha}(x,\infty)=x^{-lpha},\,x>0.$ 

The large deviations measure is concentrated on step functions with a single step.

This corresponds to exactly one of the "steps"  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  in  $S_n(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{[nt]} (X_j - EX), 0 \le t \le 1$ , being unusually large, and causing the rare event  $\gamma_n^{-1} S_n(\cdot) \in A$ .

# The usefulness of the method is reduced when the "steps" are dependent.

Suppose the "steps"  $(X_n)$  follow the MA(1) model:

 $X_n = Z_n + \phi Z_{n-1}$ ,  $(Z_n)$  i.i.d. with regularly varying tails.

Then the rare event will be caused by exactly one of  $Z_0, Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$  (say,  $Z_k$ ) being unusually large. This will mean that

$$egin{aligned} X_1+\ldots+X_j&pprox 0, & 0 < j < k \ X_1+\ldots+X_k&pprox Z_k, \ X_1+\ldots+X_j&pprox (1+\phi)Z_k, & k+1 \leq j \leq n \end{aligned}$$

The problem: the large deviations measure has to be of the form

$$\mu(A) = \operatorname{Leb} imes heta_lpha \Big\{ (t,z) \in (0,1) imes (0,\infty) : \ (1+\phi)z \mathbf{1}(\cdot > t) \in A \Big\}.$$

In the limit the two jumps coalesce into one, and the order of arrival of the large "steps"  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  is lost.

To solve this problem one needs a different abstract large deviations setup, where the order of arrivals of the large "steps" is preserved in the limit.

We replace function space large deviations with measure space large deviations.

### Large deviations for point processes

Given a stationary process with regularly varying tails  $(X_n)$ , with values in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we construct, for an integer  $q \ge 1$ , a sequence of point processes

$$N_n^q = \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{(k/n, \gamma_n^{-1} X_k, \gamma_n^{-1} X_{k-1}, \dots, \gamma_n^{-1} X_{k-q})}, \ n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

on the space  $\mathbf{E}_q = [0, 1] \times (\mathbf{R}^{d(q+1)} \setminus \{0\})$ . The sequence  $(\gamma_n)$  grows fast enough to assure that  $\gamma_n^{-1} \max(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \to 0$  in probability.

**The idea**: to preserve the information on the order of at least *q* largest "steps" in the limit.

# **Technical framework**

Let  $\mathbf{N}_{p}$  be the space of Radon point measures on  $\mathbf{E}_{q} = [0,1] \times (\mathbf{R}^{d(q+1)} \setminus \{0\})$ . We equip  $\mathbf{N}_{p}$  with the (metrizable) topology of vague convergence.

Let  $\xi_0$  be the null measure in  $\mathbf{N}_p$ . By the choice of the sequence  $(\gamma_n)$ , the sequence of point processes  $N_n^q$  converges in probability to  $\xi_0$ .

Let  $\mathbf{M}_0 = \mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{N}_p \setminus \{\xi_0\})$  be the space of Radon measures on  $\mathbf{N}_p \setminus \{\xi_0\}$  whose restriction to  $\mathbf{N}_p \setminus B_{\xi_0,r}$  is finite for each r > 0.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

We define convergence in  $\mathbf{M}_0$   $(m_n \to m)$  by requiring the convergence  $m_n(f) \to m(f)$  for all  $f \in C_0(\mathbf{N}_p \setminus \{\xi_0\})$ , the space of bounded continuous functions on  $\mathbf{N}_p \setminus \{\xi_0\}$  that vanish in a neighborhood of "the origin"  $\xi_0$ .

We are looking for a sequence  $r_n \uparrow \infty$  and a measure  $m \in \mathbf{M}_0$  such that

$$r_n P(N_n^q \in \cdot) \to m \text{ in } \mathbf{M}_0.$$

This will be the point process level large deviation principle for the stationary heavy tailed process  $(X_n)$ , and it will provide answers to many interesting large deviation questions in queuing and other areas of applications.

#### Setup for the main result

We consider a stationary *d*-dimensional stochastic process  $(X_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with the stochastic representation

$$X_k = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} A_{k,j} Z_{k-j}, \ k \in \mathbf{Z}, \ \ ext{where}:$$

- the sequence (Z<sub>j</sub>)<sub>j∈Z</sub> consists of independent and identically distributed random vectors with values in **R**<sup>p</sup>, that are multivariate regularly varying with exponent α > 0;
- the sequence (A<sub>k</sub>)<sub>k∈Z</sub> is stationary and each A<sub>k</sub> is itself a sequence of random (d × p) matrices, A<sub>k</sub> = (A<sub>k,j</sub>)<sub>j∈Z</sub>;
- the sequence  $(\mathbb{A}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is independent of the sequence  $(Z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ .

The stationary process  $(\mathbb{A}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is assumed to have lighter tails than  $(Z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ .

#### Some examples

**Example 1** Linear processes Let  $(A_j)$  be a sequence of deterministic real-valued  $d \times p$ -matrices. Let  $(Z_j)$  be a sequence of i.i.d. *p*-dimensional random vectors that are multivariate regularly varying with exponent  $\alpha > 0$ . Then

$$X_k = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} A_j Z_{k-j}, \ k \ge 0$$

is a (stationary) *d*-dimensional linear process.

**Example 2** Stochastic recursions Let  $(Y_k, Z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed pairs of  $d \times d$ -matrices and *d*-dimensional random vectors, with *Z* being multivariate regularly varying with exponent  $\alpha > 0$ . The stochastic recursion

$$X_k = Y_k X_{k-1} + Z_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

has, under some conditions, a stationary solution, and we consider the corresponding stationary process.

**Theorem** Assume that there is  $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha$  such that

$$\begin{split} \sum E \|A_j\|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} &< \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum E \|A_j\|^{\alpha+\varepsilon} &< \infty, \quad \alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1,2), \\ & E\left(\sum \|A_j\|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+\varepsilon}{\alpha-\varepsilon}} &< \infty, \quad \alpha \in \{1,2\}, \\ & E\left(\sum \|A_j\|^2\right)^{\frac{\alpha+\varepsilon}{2}} &< \infty, \quad \alpha \in (2,\infty). \end{split}$$

Then the series defining  $(X_k)$  converges a.s. and

$$\frac{P(u^{-1}X\in \cdot)}{P(|Z|>u)}\to E\Big[\sum \theta_{\alpha}\circ A_{j}^{-1}(\cdot)\Big],$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

in  $\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ .

### Main result

Assume that sequence  $(\gamma_n)$  grows so fast that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (Z_1 + \dots + Z_n)/\gamma_n \to 0, \quad \text{in probability and} \\ \gamma_n/\sqrt{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \to \infty, \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ if } \alpha = 2, \\ \gamma_n/\sqrt{n\log n} \to \infty, \quad \text{if } \alpha > 2. \end{array} \right\}$$

Let

$$r_n = \frac{1}{nP(|Z| > \gamma_n)}, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

For  $q \ge 0$  define a random map from  $[0,1] \times \mathbf{R}^p \setminus \{0\}$ ) into the space  $\mathbf{N}_p$  by

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{A},q}(t,z) = \sum_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} \delta_{(t,\mathcal{A}_{j,j}z,\mathcal{A}_{j-1,j-1}z,\ldots,\mathcal{A}_{j-q,j-q}z)}.$$

Main Theorem The following large deviation principle holds:

$$m_n^q(\cdot) = r_n P(N_n^q \in \cdot) \to E[(\text{Leb} \times \theta_\alpha) \circ T_{\mathbb{A},q}^{-1}(\cdot)] =: m^q(\cdot)$$

in  $\mathbf{M}_0$ , where  $\theta_{\alpha}$  is the tail measure of the noise variables  $(Z_k)_{k \in \mathbf{Z}}$ .

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

# Applications

# Large deviations for partial sums

Consider the large deviations of the partial sums  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ , n = 1, 2... These are obtain from the large deviations for point processes by summing up the points and applying the continuous mapping argument.

Theorem Under certain additional technical assumptions,

$$r_n P(\gamma_n^{-1} S_n \in \cdot) \to E\Big[\theta_{\alpha}\Big(z : \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} A_{j,j} z \in \cdot\Big)\Big]$$

in  $\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ .

#### Ruin probability, or stationary workload

Consider the one-dimensional case p = d = 1. Let  $\alpha > 1$ .

For and c > 0 and u > 0 denote

$$\psi(u) = P(\sup_n (X_1 + \ldots + X_n - cn) > u).$$

Under certain additonal technical assumptions,

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{\psi(u)}{uP(|Z|>u)} = E\Big[w\Big(\sup_{j\in\mathbf{Z}}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{j}A_{k,k}\Big)^{\alpha} + (1-w)\Big(\sup_{j\in\mathbf{Z}}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{j}-A_{k,k}\Big)^{\alpha}\Big]\frac{1}{c(\alpha-1)}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ